## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Un	ited States of America,	)				
	Plaintiff,	) 8:10CR389 )				
	vs.	) ) DETENTION ORDER )				
Eu	logio Barron-Valdez,	)				
	Defendant.	)				
A.	Order For Detention  After the defendant waived a detention hof the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders to pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).					
B.	<ul> <li>Statement Of Reasons For The Detention         The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds:         X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.         X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.     </li> </ul>					
C.	that which was contained in the Pretrial S  X (1) Nature and circumstances of  X (a) The crime: Possessi  more methamphetam  firearm; illegal re-entr  crime and carries a ma  (b) The offense is a crime  X (c) The offense involves a	sion with intent to distribute 50 grams or nine; illegal alien in possession of ry of an aggravated felon is a serious aximum penalty of life imprisonment. of violence.				
	may affect who The defendant The defendant	<u> </u>				

## DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		The defendant is not a long time resident of the
		community The defendant does not have any significant community
		ties.
		Past conduct of the defendant:
		The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse.
		<ul><li>The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse.</li><li>X</li><li>The defendant has a significant prior criminal record.</li></ul>
		The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at
		court proceedings.
		(b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation
		Parole
		Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of
		sentence. (c) Other Factors:
		X The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		deportation.
		The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
		X The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement
		(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
		X Other: Prior deportation (1997, 1998 and 2003)
V	(4)	The neture and equipment of the degree peed by the defendant's
X	(4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
<u>X</u>	(4)	The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)
X	(4)	release are as follows:
X	(4)	release are as follows:
X	(4)	release are as follows:
X	` ,	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions
	` ,	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
	` ,	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions
	(5)	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
	(5)	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the
	(5)	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
	(5)	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:  (1) A crime of violence; or
	(5)	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:  (1) A crime of violence; or  X (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
	(5)	release are as follows:  Prior drug conviction (1996, 1997 and 1998)  Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:  (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:  (1) A crime of violence; or

## **DETENTION ORDER - Page 3**

		(4)	two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial
· ·		dition or combination of conditions will reasonably appearance of the defendant as required and the community because the Court finds that there is	
	<u> X</u>	(1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.
		(2)	That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or

## D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

dangerous weapon or device).

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: November 1, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge